Appln No. 09/942,602 Amdt. Dated July 14, 2005 Response to Office Action of June 01, 2005

5

433 FAX

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's further Office Action of June 1, 2005 in the current RCE application the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment to the claims and the below Remarks directed thereto.

Claims 14 and 7-17 are currently pending in the present application. In the Amendment:

independent claims 1 and 17 are further amended to specify that the received card has a printed pattern encoding text/graphics of a plurality of pages of a document and that the display is arranged to display the data generated from the scanned printed text/graphics as a corresponding plurality of digital pages. Support for this amendment can be found, for example, at page 6, lines 11-27 of the present specification; and

dependent claims 2-4 and 7-16 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments do not add new matter to the present application.

As discussed above, the Applicant has further amended the independent claims 1 and 17 in order to clearly specify that in the present invention the printed encoded pattern of the book data card which is inserted into the electronic presentation device encodes multiple pages of a document, such as a book or magazine. As a result, the display of the electronic presentation device is arranged to be able to display multiple digital pages which correspond with the pages of book data card. In this way, the electronic presentation device of the present invention not only provides a digital representation of a book/magazine but also provides the look and feel of a book/magazine (see page 4, line 30-page 5, line 10 and page 6, lines 11-27 of the present specification).

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of further amended independent claims 1 and 17, and claims 2-4 and 7-16 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by Kondo either taken alone or in combination with any one or more of Swartz, newly cited Muller et al. (USP 6,182,899), Isao, Phillipps and Ohara, for at least the previously discussed and following reasons.

Appln No. 09/942,602 Amdt. Dated July 14, 2005 Response to Office Action of June 01, 2005

6

In the Response to Arguments section of the present Office Action, the Examiner contends that the current recitation of independent claims 1 and 17 of "entire document" reads on "one page information of the book" as disclosed in Swartz. Accordingly, claims 1 and 17 have been further amended to clarify the multiple page nature of the documents encoded by the book data card and the display of multiple digital pages in the present invention.

As discussed in the Applicant's previous Office Action responses, Swartz does not teach or suggest such a feature of the present invention since the disclosure of Swartz makes it clear that a single two-dimensional bar code symbols only have sufficient information density and capacity to represent text of a single letter-sized document, not a multiple page document.

Thus, based on the only fair interpretation of the disclosure of Swartz, any combination of Swartz with the other cited references of record would result in a device which provides multiple "cards" for each page of a multiple page document, not a single card encoded an entire multiple page document, and therefore a device having a display capable of displaying one page at a time, and not multiple digital pages as in amended claims 1-4 and 7-17.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:

WWZ.

C/o:

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:

kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone:

+612 9818 6633

Facsimile:

+61 2 9555 7762